- A. Ecker, E. Weckert, H. Schnöckel, Nature 1997, 387, 379; W. Köstler,
 G. Linti, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2758; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.
 1997, 36, 2644; A. Schnepf, G. Stößer, R. Köppe, H. Schnöckel, Angew.
 Chem. 2000, 112, 1709; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1637; A.
 Schnepf, G. Stößer, H. Schnöckel, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2000, 626, 1676.
- B. E. Eichler, N. J. Hardman, P. P. Power, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 391; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 383; N. Wiberg, T. Blank, H. Nöth, W. Ponikwar, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 887; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 839; G. Linti, A. Rodig, Chem. Commun. 2000, 127.
- [3] S. T. Haubrich, P. P. Power, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 2202. Review about tetrahedral cluster compounds: W. Uhl, Rev. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 18, 239
- [4] O. T. Beachley, Jr., M. J. Noble, R. D. Allendoerfer, J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 582, 32.
- [5] R. A. Kovar, H. Derr, D. Brandau, J. O. Callaway, *Inorg. Chem.* 1975, 14, 2809.
- [6] Crystal structure of 1: Crystals from toluene at -30° C; $C_{36}H_{81}Ga_{9}$. $0.33 \,\mathrm{C}_7\mathrm{H}_8$, orthorhombic, space group Pnnm; a = 1900.73(5), b =2181.55(4), c = 3751.45(7) pm, $V = 15555.5(6) \text{ Å}^3$, Z = 12, $\rho_{\text{calcd}} =$ $1.498~g\,cm^{-3},$ crystal dimensions: $0.50\times0.45\times0.36$ mm, diffractometer Stoe IPDS, $Mo_{K\alpha}$ radiation, 193 K, measurement range: 3.9 < 2Θ < 52.0° , 335 exposures, $\Delta \phi = 0.6^{\circ}$, 15480 independent reflections, 11 165 reflections $F > 4\sigma(F)$, $\mu = 4.62 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, numerical absorption correction; programs SHELXTL PLUS REL 4.1 and SHELXL-97, 746 parameters, R1 = 0.045 and wR2 (all data) = 0.127, max./min. residual electron density: $2.77/-3.02 \times 10^{30} \, \mathrm{e \, m^{-3}}$. Compound 1 crystallizes with 1.5 independent molecules per asymmetric unit, one molecule is located on a crystallographic mirror plane. The toluene molecules are strongly disordered, their hydrogen atoms were not considered. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-150591 (1). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK (fax: (+44)1223-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam. ac.uk).
- W. Uhl, M. Layh, T. Hildenbrand, J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 364, 289;
 X. He, R. A. Bartlett, M. M. Olmstead, K. Ruhlandt-Senge, B. E. Sturgeon, P. P. Power, Angew. Chem. 1993, 105, 761; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 717.
- W. Uhl, W. Hiller, M. Layh, W. Schwarz, Angew. Chem. 1992, 104,
 1378; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1364; W. Uhl, A. Jantschak, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 555, 263.
- [9] Cyclic voltammogram of 1 in CH₂Cl₂/0.1M Bu₄NPF₆ or 1,2-difluoro-benzene/0.1M Bu₄NPF₆ at 298 K: Reversible reduction at E(1/2) = -1.74 V (vs. [Fe(C₃H₅)₂]⁺/[Fe(C₃H₅)₂]⁰); irreversible two-electron reduction at -2.70 V; irreversible multielectron oxidation at 0.40 V (100 mVs⁻¹). ESR spectrum of 2, generated electrochemically in CH₂Cl₂/0.1M Bu₄NPF₆ at 298 K, measured in a glassy frozen solution at 3.4 K: g₁ = 2.173, g₂ = 2.06, g₃ = 1.95. Owing to very fast relaxation no signal was observed above 110 K.
- [10] [B₆X₆]⁻ (X = halogen): V. Lorenzen, W. Preetz, F. Baumann, W. Kaim, Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 4011.
- [11] Structural parameters of the model compounds **1a** and **2a** were optimized at the HF/6-31G* level with the program package Gaussian 98. This level has previously been shown to be reliable for gallium compounds. Ga₉Me₉ (**1a**): Ranges of Ga–Ga distances: 255.1–257.6 pm to the capping Ga atoms (av 256.3 pm), 266.6–268.4 pm for the edges of the triangles of the prism (av 267.3 pm), 294.7–303.1 pm for the edges of the prism parallel to the threefold rotation axis (av 298.2 pm); Ga–C 197.7 pm (av). [Ga₉Me₉] **2a**: Ranges of Ga–Ga distances: 253.8–255.1 pm to the capping Ga atoms (av 254.4 pm), 274.7–275.5 pm for the edges of the triangles of the prism (av 275.1 pm), 280.8–282.7 pm for the edges of the prism parallel to the threefold rotation axis (av 281.6 pm); Ga–C 200.3 pm.
- [12] L. M. McKee, Z.-X. Wang, P. von R. Schleyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4781; H. Binder, R. Kellner, K. Vaas, M. Hein, F. Baumann, M. Wanner, R. Winter, W. Kaim, W. Hönle, Y. Grin, U. Wedig, M. Schultheiss, R. K. Kremer, H. G. von Schnering, O. Groeger, G. Engelhardt, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 1059.

Stereoselective Multiple Functionalization of Pyrylium Salts by Domino Reactions with 2-Silyloxybuta-1,3-dienes**

Uwe Beifuss,* Kim Goldenstein, Frank Döring, Christopher Lehmann, and Mathias Noltemeyer

The development of multicomponent domino reactions is particularly attractive as these allow the stereoselective conversion of several simple substrates into complex target molecules, such as annulated or bridged polycycles, in a single step.[1] Pyrylium salts are easily accessible, reactive heteroarenes that react preferably with nucleophiles. Apart from transformations that proceed with conservation of the pyran ring, we are also familiar with reactions in which the primary adducts are stabilized by ring opening or subsequent ring transformation.^[2] In contrast, only a few stereoselective reactions that start from pyrylium salts are known to result in complex ring systems. One exception is the 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of 3-oxidopyrylium salts, which have initially been developed by Sammes et al. and later by Wender, Mascareñas, and Magnus and co-workers to provide an attractive route to various ring systems and natural products.^[3]

One concept that may be applied to yield products of a higher complexity in diastereomerically pure form from simple components is the multiple functionalization of positively charged heteroarenes. Here, **A** first reacts regioselectively with a nucleophile at C-2. The resulting enol ether **B** can then react with an electrophile at C-3 forming the Michael acceptor **C**. Subsequent transformation with a nucleophile at C-6 and an electrophile at C-5 yield the trisubstituted **D** and the tetrasubstituted heterocycle **E**, respectively (Scheme 1). Following research on the selective mono- and bisfunctional-

X = NR', O, S
Scheme 1. Multiple functionalization of positively charged heteroarenes.

[*] Priv.-Doz. Dr. U. Beifuss, Dr. K. Goldenstein, Dipl.-Chem. F. Döring Institut für Organische Chemie der Georg-August-Universität Göt-

Tammannstrasse 2, 37077 Göttingen (Germany)

Fax: (+49) 551-39-9660 E-mail: ubeifus@gwdg.de

Dipl.-Chem. C. Lehmann, Dr. M. Noltemeyer

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Tammannstrasse 4, 37077 Göttingen (Germany)

[**] This work was supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.com or from the author.

ization of benzannulated systems, [4] we here report on the first selective tris- and tetrafunctionalization of 4-silyloxypyrylium triflates by domino reactions with two equivalents of a 2-silyloxybuta-1,3-diene.

The 4-silyloxypyrylium triflates **3** required as substrates are easily accessible in situ from pyran-4-one (**1**) and silyl triflates R¹OTf under mild conditions [Eq. (1)] and can be reacted with 2-silyloxybuta-1,3-dienes **4** and **9**, respectively. The latter two are also generated in situ in the same flask by the reaction of α,β -unsaturated methyl ketones **2** with silyl triflates in the presence of 2,6-lutidine [Eq. (2)].

The reactions of **1** (1.0 equiv) and **2** (2.0 equiv) with a total of 4.5 equivalents of various silyl triflates led to the tetrahydro-2*H*-chromenes **7** as their sole products with very good yields in almost all cases. During this trisfunctionalization of pyrylium salts a domino 1,2-/1,4-addition between the 4-silyloxypyrylium triflate **3** and the 2-silyloxybuta-1,3-diene **4** occurs as the first step.^[5] The annulated intermediate **6** formed via **5** is then reacted with another molecule **4** under

Table 1. Tetrahydro-2H-chromenes 7 by domino reactions of 3 and 4.^[a]

\mathbb{R}^1	4	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	7	Yield [%]	E/Z
TBDMS	a	Ph	Н	a	96	4.0:1.0
TES	b	Ph	Н	b	98	4.1:1.0
TDMS	c	Ph	Н	c	90	3.8:1.0
TBDMS	d	p-C ₆ H ₄ CN	Н	d	80	11.0:1.0
TBDMS	e	p-C ₆ H ₄ Cl	Η	e	35	1.3:1.0
TBDMS	f	$(CH_2)_4$		f	86	[b]
TES	g	$(CH_2)_4$		g	98	[b]
TIPS	h	$(CH_2)_4$		h	99	[b]
TMS	i	p-C ₆ H ₄ CO ₂ Me	Н	i	62	3.4:1.0
TMS	j	p-C ₆ H ₄ NO ₂	H	j	56	only E
	TBDMS TES TDMS TBDMS TBDMS TBDMS TBDMS TBDMS TBDMS TES TIPS TMS	TBDMS a TES b TDMS c TBDMS d TBDMS e TBDMS f TES g TIPS h TMS i	TBDMS a Ph TES b Ph TDMS c Ph TBDMS d p-C₀H₄CN TBDMS e p-C₀H₄CI TBDMS f (CH₂)₄ TES g (CH₂)₄ TIPS h (CH₂)₄ TMS i p-C₀H₄CO₂Me	TBDMS a Ph H TES b Ph H TDMS c Ph H TBDMS d p - C_6 H ₄ CN H TBDMS e p - C_6 H ₄ Cl H TBDMS f $(CH_2)_4$ H TES g $(CH_2)_4$ H TIPS h $(CH_2)_4$ H TMS i p - C_6 H ₄ CO ₂ Me H	TBDMS a Ph H a TES b Ph H b TDMS c Ph H c TBDMS d p-C ₆ H ₄ CN H d TBDMS e p-C ₆ H ₄ Cl H e TBDMS f (CH ₂) ₄ F TES g (CH ₂) ₄ g g TIPS h (CH ₂) ₄ h h TMS i p-C ₆ H ₄ CO ₂ Me H i	TBDMS a Ph H a 96 TES b Ph H b 98 TDMS c Ph H c 90 TBDMS d p-C ₆ H ₄ CN H d 80 TBDMS e p-C ₆ H ₄ CI H e 35 TBDMS f (CH ₂) ₄ f 86 TES g (CH ₂) ₄ g 98 TIPS h (CH ₂) ₄ h 99 TMS i p-C ₆ H ₄ CO ₂ Me H i 62

[a] TBDMS = tert-butyldimethylsilyl, TES = triethylsilyl, TDMS = thexyl-dimethylsilyl, TIPS = triisopropylsilyl, TMS = trimethylsilyl. [b] The products 7 f - h were isolated as a mixture of two constitutional isomers.

1,4-addition to give **7** diastereoselectively. In this manner three new C–C bonds and four stereogenic centers can be achieved in a single step (Table 1). Another reason why this new method is quite exciting is that a number of natural products are known to exhibit this ring system.^[6]

Taking the reaction of pyran-4-one (1) with benzalacetone (2; $R^2 = Ph$, $R^3 = H$) and triisopropylsilyl triflate (TIPSOTf) as an example, we were able to show that the amount of the

silyl triflate has a significant influence on product formation: for instance, reaction of one equivalent of 1, 2.1 equivalents of $2 (R^2 = Ph, R^3 = H)$, and just two equivalents of TIPSOTf gives exclusively 8 (Scheme 2). Evidently, the reactivity of the systems giving 7 or 8 is not sufficient to bring about the second ring closure. In order to direct the reactions in this way, we increased both the reactivity of the 2-silyloxybuta-1,3-diene and of the silyl triflate.

Scheme 2. Influence of the amount of silyl triflate applied.

The importance of the reactivity of the 2-silyloxy-buta-1,3-dienes is revealed in reactions of **3** with the silyl enol ethers **9** of different 1-acetylcyclopentenes. With these highly reactive reagents the second ring closure occurs readily to give dicyclopenta[a,j]octahydroxanthen-9-ones **10** exclusively (Table 2). We assume that they derive from a sequential domino 1,2-/1,4-addition and a domino 1,4-/1,4-addition between **3** and two equivalents of **9**. In these cases we were able to isolate just

Table 2. Dicyclopenta[a,j]octahydroxanthen-9-ones 10 by domino reactions between 3 and 9.

3	\mathbb{R}^1	9	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	10	Yield [%]
a	TBDMS	a	Н	Н	a	85
e	TMS	b	H	Н	b	75
d	TIPS	c	H	Н	c	33
a	TBDMS	d	CO ₂ Me	CO_2Me	d	58
e	TMS	e	CO ₂ Me	CO_2Me	e	51
a	TBDMS	f	$=CH(CH_3)_2$		f	31
a	TBDMS	g	$CH(CH_3)_2$	H	g	61

one of the 32 possible diastereomers. The relative configuration follows from the analysis of the NMR spectra, which is made a lot easier by the C_2 symmetry of the compounds. The structural assignments are corroborated by X-ray structural analysis of $10 \, d.$ ^[7]

Product formation could also be governed by the reactivity of the silyl triflate, although the direction taken was somewhat unexpected: It came as a surprise that the reactions of the trimethylsilyloxypyrylium triflate 3e with two equivalents of the 2-trimethylsilyloxybuta-1,3-dienes 4k-o in the presence of the highly reactive TMSOTf selectively yielded the bicyclo[3.3.1]nona-2,6-dienes 12 (Table 3). We assume that cleavage of the pyran ring occurs in this three-component reaction after the formation of 7 by TMSOTf that then generates the bisallyl cations 11, which are rearranged in an

Table 3. Bicyclo[3.3.1]nona-2,6-dienes $\bf 12$ by domino reactions between 4-trimethylsilyloxypyrylium triflate $\bf 3e$ and 2-trimethylsilyloxybuta-1,3-dienes $\bf 4k-o$

11			12				
3	\mathbb{R}^1	4	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	12	Yield [%]	
e	TMS	k	Ph	Н	a	94	
e	TMS	l	p-C ₆ H ₄ OMe	Н	b	51	
e	TMS	m	p-C ₆ H ₄ Cl	Н	c	80	
e	TMS	n	p-C ₆ H ₄ CN	H	d	54	
e	TMS	0	$(CH_2)_4$		e	96	

intramolecular and stereocontrolled fashion to give the end products **12**. Four new C–C bonds and five stereogenic centers are formed when these highly functionalized bridged systems are established in a single step. This is all the more interesting as there are a number of bioactive natural products with a bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane framework, but with hardly any stereoselective routes available that lead to this particular ring

system.^[8] The structure of the products was determined by NMR analysis of compounds **13** and **14** resulting from derivatization or hydrolysis, respectively, of **12a**.^[9] An X-ray structural analysis for **13** is also available.^[7] Only **4i,j** and **9b,e** deviate from this reactivity pattern in that they exclusively yield **7i,j** and **10b,e**, respectively.

Experimental Section

Pyran-4-one (1) (1.00 mmol) was treated with silyl triflate (4.50 mmol) under argon and left at room temperature for 1 h. Then dichloromethane (3 mL), 2,6-lutidine (4.50 mmol), and a solution of the α , β -unsaturated ketone 2 (2.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL) were added and the mixture was stirred for 15 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was treated with a solution of saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate (10 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 10 mL). After the combined organic layers had been dried over sodium sulfate, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The workup was performed under argon, and purification of the crude products was accomplished by flash chromatography on silica gel.

Received: September 8, 2000 [Z15777]

- [1] L. F. Tietze, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115-136.
- [2] Review on pyrylium salts: W. Schroth, W. Dölling, A. T. Balaban, Methoden Org. Chem. (Houben-Weyl) 4 th ed. 1952 –, Vol. E7b/2, 1992, p 755 – 1004.
- [3] a) Reviews on oxidopyrylium salts: A. R. Katritzky, N. Dennis, Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 827–861; P. G. Sammes, Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1986, 116, 109–114; b) P. A. Wender, J. L. Mascareñas, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2115–2118; c) J. R. Rodríguez, A. Rumbo, L. Castedo, J. L. Mascareñas, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4560–4563; d) W. E. Bauta, J. Booth, M. E. Bos, M. Deluca, L. Diorazio, T. J. Donohoe, C. Frost, N. Magnus, P. Magnus, J. Mendoza, P. Pye, J. G. Tarrant, S. Thom, F. Ujjainwalla, Tetrahedron 1996, 45, 14081–14102.
- [4] Monofunctionalization: a) U. Beifuss, S. Ledderhose, Synlett 1997, 313-315; b) U. Beifuss, M. Tietze, H. Gehm, Synlett 1996, 182-184; bisfunctionalization: c) U. Beifuss, G. Feder, T. Bes, I. Usón, Synlett 1998, 649-651; d) U. Beifuss, M. Taraschewski, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 2807-2809; e) U. Beifuss, H. Gehm, M. Noltemeyer, H.-G. Schmidt, Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 705-707; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 647-649; f) U. Beifuss, S. Ledderhose, Synlett 1995, 938-940.
- [5] Studies on the mechanism of the annulation of benzothiopyrylium triflates indicate a two-step domino 1,2-/1,4-addition.^[4e]
- [6] Selected natural products: a) U. Warmers, A. Rieck, W. A. König, H. Muhle, *Phytochemistry* 1999, 51, 679–682; b) H. Tazaki, J. Zapp, H. Becker, *Phytochemistry* 1995, 39, 859–868; c) E. Ghisalberti, C. Y. Rowland, *J. Nat. Prod.* 1993, 56, 1799–1804; d) M. Sugano, A. Sato, Y. Iijima, T. Oshima, K. Furuya, H. Kuwano, T. Hata, H. Hanzawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991, 113, 5463–5464.
- [7] Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-148324 (10d) and CCDC-147895 (13). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK (fax: (+44)1223-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
- [8] Synthesis of bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanes: a) J. A. Peters, Synthesis 1979, 321–336; natural products with a bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane framework: b) T. Hashimoto, H. Koyama, M. Tori, S. Takaoka, Y. Asakawa, Phytochemistry 1995, 40, 171–176; c) M. S. Butler, R. J. Capon, Aust. J. Chem. 1993, 46, 1363–1374; d) G. Schulte, P. J. Scheuer, O. J. McConnell, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 552–554.
- [9] Compound 13 was isolated from the reaction of 12 a with 10% H₂SO₄ in THF (RT, 1 h) in 35% yield. Compound 14 was accessible in 80% yield by reaction of 12 a with two equivalents of tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF (0°C, 10 min).